

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

TROY DEIMERLY,

Plaintiff,

v.

HAROLD CLARKE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C06-5717 RJB/KLS

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO REPLY TO  
DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR  
TEMPORARY INJUNCTION

Presently noted for consideration on June 15, 2007 are Plaintiff's motions for temporary restraining order, motion to proceed without security and motion to order the seizure of evidence (Dkt. # 11, 12 and 13). Plaintiff's motions were re-noted by the Court in March, 2007 (Dkt. # 19) as their filing pre-dated service of the Plaintiff's Complaint on the Defendants. Plaintiff has now filed a "motion for extension of time re: deficiencies." (Dkt. # 30). Although it is unclear what "deficiencies" Plaintiff wishes to address, Plaintiff bases his motion on his recent transfer and the delivery of his legal documents to his new location. (*Id.*) Defendants have filed no opposition to Plaintiff's motion. Plaintiff also requests a copy of the docketing sheet in this case. (*Id.*).

The Court finds that there is no showing of prejudice to Defendants by the short continuance and that Plaintiff should be granted a continuance within which to file a reply to Defendants'

1 response to Plaintiff's outstanding motions. Plaintiff's motion for continuance (Dkt. # 30) is  
2 therefore, **GRANTED for that purpose**; Plaintiff may file his reply to Defendants' response (Dkt. #  
3 31) on or before **July 20, 2007**.

4 The Clerk of the Court is directed to **re-note** Plaintiff's motions (Dkt. # 11, 12 and 13) for  
5 **July 20, 2007**.

6 The Clerk is directed to send a copy of the docket to Plaintiff and copies of this Order to  
7 Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.

8  
9 DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of July, 2007.

10  
11  
12  
13 

14 Karen L. Strombom  
15 United States Magistrate Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25